Evolutionary Theories of Depression: A Critical Review

Edward H Hagen, PhD¹

We critically review evolutionary theories of major depressive disorder (MDD). Because most instances of MDD appear to be caused by adversity, evolutionary theories of MDD generally propose that sadness and low mood evolved as beneficial responses to adversity, and that MDD is dysfunctional sadness and low mood. If so, MDD research should focus much more heavily on understanding the healthy functions of sadness and low mood to better understand how they dysfunction. A debate about the boundary between healthy sadness and MDD is then reviewed. In part, this debate turns on whether MDD's costliest symptoms could provide unknown benefits. Therefore, the review concludes by discussing 2 theories that explore possible benefits of prolonged anhedonia and suicidality.

Can J Psychiatry. 2011;56(12):716-726.

Clinical Implications

- The clinical implications depend on which, if any, of these evolutionary theories of MDD is correct. In general, if MDD is dysfunctional sadness or low mood, then the primary goal of psycho- or chemotherapy would be to restore healthy sadness and low mood, and not simply to reduce MDD symptoms. Conversely, if MDD is functional, then therapy would aim to solve the social problem that triggered the MDD.
- In particular, if MDD is an involuntary subordinate strategy, then therapy should involve encouraging patients to let go of important but seemingly unobtainable life goals that involve conflicts with higher-status people. However, if the analytical rumination hypothesis is correct, then therapy should instead focus on identifying and solving the complex life problem that triggered the MDD. In some patients, and contrary to the involuntary subordinate strategy hypothesis, this may involve redoubling efforts to achieve a seemingly unobtainable life goal.
- Finally, all psychosocial theories of MDD imply that it may be possible to prevent MDD by identifying and avoiding social risk factors. For instance, the credible signalling hypothesis highlights the potent MDD risk posed by the confluence of social conflict, powerlessness, and adversity, each of which, in principle, could be reduced with appropriate interventions.

Limitation

The primary limitation of all evolutionary theories of MDD is that, although each is
a reasonably parsimonious account of known facts about MDD, few of their novel
predictions have been explored. In other words, these theories are largely untested.

Key Words: evolution, depression, sadness, low mood

Nature has placed mankind under the governance of two sovereign masters, pain, and pleasure. It is for them alone to point out what we ought to do, as well as to determine what we shall do.—Jeremy Bentham¹

By rejecting [Cartesian] dualism, we accept that all psychiatric disorders are biological. But so then are all mental processes, pathological or otherwise.—Kenneth Kendler² MDD has long been claimed to be a common disease that is caused, in part, by a simple imbalance of neurotransmitters, and that is effectively treated with drugs correcting this imbalance.^{3–5} (MDD will be used as defined in DSM-III or -IV, or depressive episode in the International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision, excluding bipolar and psychotic depression. Sadness and low mood will be used to refer to a range of negative emotions that would not be classified as MDD.) This mainstream story has been undermined by a failure to prove the monoamine deficiency hypothesis or any other theory of MDD,^{3,6} long-standing concerns about a high false-positive rate in diagnoses of MDD,^{7,8} the poor performance of ADs relative to placebo,^{9–11} failure to improve AD efficacy since the 1950s,¹² biased publication of AD trials,¹³ and accusations of ethical violations by leading MDD researchers.^{14–16}

However, the failure of the mainstream approach to either successfully explain MDD or improve its treatment does not mean that MDD has no biochemical basis. As Kendler² points out, the brain is a biochemical machine: all brain activity, including thoughts, emotions, and memory, arise from biochemical changes in the brain. Hence MDD is (and must be) biological or chemical. The failure of the mainstream approach to MDD suggests, instead, that MDD researchers may benefit by considering an alternative theoretical framework that incorporates *all* levels of analysis: genetics, biochemistry, neurobiology, cognition, emotion, and social relationships.

We explore the clinical utility of one such framework, evolutionary theory, and a key concept, adaptation, for understanding MDD. Adaptations, also known as biological functions, are organism traits whose properties are best explained by the positive effects they had on the reproduction of the organism's ancestors over evolutionary time; that is, which evolved by natural selection. They usually exhibit evidence of design to promote the survival and reproduction of the organism.¹⁷ Examples include hearts, lungs, ovaries, the immune system, vision, and physical pain. Most evolutionary accounts of MDD reviewed propose some biological function for sadness and low mood, with depressive illness then arising as dysregulated or malignant sadness.^{18,19}

It is important to keep in mind that adaptations are typically universal in a species. Thus, when considering the evolved functions of emotions, such as sadness and low mood, the focus is on the expression of these emotions in the day-today lives of the entire human species, and not simply their expression in clinical populations.

Abbreviations

AD	antidepressant

DSM Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders

MDD major depressive disorder

We summarize evolutionary theories of sadness and low mood. We discuss implications of these theories for MDD research. Implications for MDD diagnosis, including a debate about the boundary between healthy and pathological sadness and low mood, are also discussed. In part, this debate turns on the correct explanation of MDD's costliest symptoms, such as prolonged anhedonia and suicidality. If these symptoms are pathological, as virtually all mental health researchers believe, this solidifies the case that MDD is pathological. However, if these symptoms turn out to have evolved functions, then, contrary to the consensus in the mental health sciences, much MDD may be a healthy response to adversity. Therefore, we review 2 theories that propose evolved functions for prolonged anhedonia and suicidality.

What Are the Evolved Functions of Sadness and Low Mood?

Adversity is strongly associated with, and is probably a cause of, MDD,^{20–22} but adversity more commonly triggers healthy, adaptive psychological responses, such as sadness and low mood. Therefore, most evolutionary theories of MDD first consider how sadness and low mood could be adaptations to adversity—that is, how they could provide benefits to people experiencing adversity—and then consider how MDD arises as dysregulated or malignant sadness.^{18,19}

The evolutionary theories of sadness and low mood discussed in this section draw primarily on hedonism, a venerable idea that, in its motivational or psychological variant, holds that people seek pleasure and avoid pain, and in its normative variant, holds that only pleasure and pain have value and disvalue, respectively. Developed by ancient Greek philosophers, hedonic principles formed an important part of the philosophies of later British Enlightenment thinkers, were a foundation of Freudian psychoanalysis, and are a basic assumption across much of psychology and neurobiology.^{23,24} Most evolutionary theories of MDD are grounded in evolutionary theories of psychic pain or distress as a motivator.

A second core idea, that adversity elicits cries for help, has played an important role in theories of pain, distress, and psychopathology, especially MDD and suicide.^{25–27} This idea is especially important for theories that propose evolved functions for even the costliest symptoms of MDD, such as prolonged anhedonia and suicidality.

These 2 ideas suggest that evolved responses to adversity comprise both internal signals influencing one's own behaviour, and external signals, such as facial expressions, cries, and perhaps suicidal behaviour and prolonged anhedonia, that are meant to influence others' behaviour.

Darwin and Bowlby, Attachment and Loss

For Darwin, the evolution of sadness was rooted in the mother-infant relationship—in numerous species, infant cries functioned to elicit care from the mother. However, Darwin focused less on the function of sadness than on the function of associated facial expressions, such as the firm closing of the eyelids when crying (to protect the eyes from being too much gorged with blood, according to Darwin).²⁸

By far the most influential evolutionary theorist of sadness has been Bowlby,²⁹ who, like Darwin, rooted sadness in the attachment patterns of the infant and its primary caretaker (usually the mother). Anxiety and sadness were evolved reactions to temporary losses, such as separation from the mother, that served to re-establish physical proximity.²⁹ Grief after the death of a loved one, which obviously could not serve to re-establish proximity, was then a costly byproduct of evolved attachment mechanisms³⁰ (but see Nesse^{31,32}).

According to Bowlby,²⁹ MDD is maladaptive sadness or mourning (see also Henderson²⁷ and Henderson et al³³), an idea expressed by Western thinkers dating back to Hippocrates.³⁴ Bowlby closely aligned his views of MDD with those of Beck,³⁵ who argued that MDD resulted from distorted cognitions about the self. Bowlby and his followers rooted those cognitive distortions in childhood attachment problems.

Evidence for Bowlby's hypothesis is mixed. Childhood adversity, which includes death of a parent, physical and sexual abuse, parental drug abuse and psychopathology, and severe illness, is strongly associated with adult psychopathology. However, parental losses, such as death or divorce, have, at best, a small-to-modest association with adult mood disorder, specifically.³⁶

Dominance and Submission

Ethological studies of dominance hierarchies, which noted similarities in the demeanour of animals that had lost rank and depressed people,³⁷ inspired another approach to MDD. According to the social competition hypothesis,^{38,39} MDD is the emotion of submission, an involuntary subordinate strategy functioning to create a subjective sense of incapacity, inhibiting aggression toward higher-ranked people; signal submission; and encourage acceptance of rank loss and promote yielding. Early formulations seemed to argue that MDD itself was functional.³⁸ Later refinements suggest MDD is a dysfunctioning involuntary subordinate strategy.^{40,41}

The cardinal strength of the social competition hypothesis is that MDD is indeed closely associated with powerlessness. A perceived inability to control events—variously termed external locus-of-control,^{42–44} helplessness or hopelessness,⁴⁵ entrapment,⁴⁶ or defeat^{47–50}—is clearly implicated in MDD.

However, at least 3 questions can be raised about the social competition hypothesis. First, it has little to say about suicidality as either a dysfunctional or functional aspect of MDD. Why would submission to dominants, which protects a person from harm, be associated with thoughts of killing oneself?

Second, harm is best avoided by yielding quickly, so why would depressive episodes often last for months? According to the social competition hypothesis, prolonged depressed mood is either a pathological failure to yield,⁴¹ or a consequence of conflict within the triune brain.⁵¹ For instance, if the so-called rational neomammalian complex refuses to stop competing, the reptilian complex compels yielding via MDD.^{39,40} The triune brain model is controversial, though, and flatly rejected by some textbooks^{52,53} (for a defence of McLean,⁵¹ see Cory and Gardner⁵⁴).

Contrary to the social competition hypothesis, the delay in yielding may be due to genuine uncertainty about the best course of action. Choosing between continued competition and yielding requires additional information about, for example, potential allies and competitors' abilities, and prolonged low mood functions to collect and analyze that information, an hypothesis revisited later in my article.

A final question regarding the social competition hypothesis is why MDD occurs in response to adversity that is seemingly unrelated to defeat, such as death of a loved one. One plausible answer is that, in humans and many other primates, the loss of an ally often entails a loss of rank.⁵⁵ A second answer has been to integrate the social competition hypothesis with Bowlby's attachment theory of MDD, which highlights loss.^{41,56}

Social Risk Hypothesis

The social risk hypothesis for MDD⁵⁷ was inspired by work on risk-sensitive foraging.⁵⁸⁻⁶⁰ Consider an animal with an energy reserve threshold, below which it will not survive the cold night, facing a choice of foraging in a patch of either blueberries or strawberries, both with the same mean energy return

$$\mu_{blue} = \mu_{straw}$$

but with different daily variations in return, that is, risk

$$\sigma_{\rm blue} < \sigma_{\rm straw}$$

If energy reserves are well above the threshold, then either patch would suffice. However, if they are only slightly above the threshold, then choosing high-variation strawberries means a higher risk of not finding enough to survive the night, so the animal should be risk-averse, choosing blueberries.

Allen and Badcock⁵⁷ similarly argue that people with successful social relationships can tolerate some social risk-taking. However, people with poor social relations cannot, and their low mood reduces social risk-taking, reducing the threat of ostracism. Allen and Badcock⁵⁷ marshal considerable evidence that MDD is caused by social problems and associated with perceived low social value (low self-esteem), attentional biases toward social threats, lessened expectations of success, and heightened expectations of failure.

One challenge to the social risk hypothesis is that the literature on risk-sensitive foraging predicts people in poor condition will often be risk-seeking. Reconsider the berry patch: an animal well below the energy reserve threshold would not survive the night if it foraged on low-variance blueberries because the mean return would be insufficient. Instead, it should forage on high-variance strawberries because this provides at least some chance of exceeding the reserve threshold. A mathematical model of MDD inspired by this logic predicts risk aversion for people close to, but above, a critical threshold, and risk-seeking for those below it, a refinement that may illuminate manic states, which can be triggered by adversity.⁶¹

Although Allen and Badcock⁵⁷ make a strong case that low mood is a response to social adversity and heightens social vigilance, the case is weaker for a reduction in social risk, per se. Indeed, there is overwhelming evidence that depressed people work less and parent less,^{62–67} which would seem to reduce the mean payoffs of these critical social relationships (μ) rather than reducing their variation (σ); this fact may require incorporating a trade-off between risk and return^{68,69} into the theory.

The evidence Allen and Badcock⁵⁷ present is also consistent with other theories that explain sadness and low mood as functional responses to social adversity. Their own comparison of the social risk hypothesis to the social competition hypothesis notes few differences. The social risk hypothesis also does not explain why suicidality, a high-risk behaviour, emerges as either a function or a dysfunction of a risk-aversion mechanism, although, as we shall see, the risk-sensitive foraging literature provides a clue. Finally, sadness and low mood are painful. Physical pain, which we discuss next, certainly encourages cautious behaviour, but it appears to have other important functions, such as threat avoidance and learning, and the same is likely to be true of psychic pain.

Psychic Pain Hypothesis

Other researchers see sadness and low mood serving functions analogous to physical pain, which, though aversive, informs an animal of injury, indicates its location, motivates withdrawal from the source of injury, discourages activity to promote healing, and conditions avoidance of similar circumstances in the future. According to the psychic pain hypothesis, sadness and low mood have similar evolved functions, except in response to social adversity rather than physical injury.^{70–75}

The relatively few empirical tests of the psychic pain hypothesis have focused on predicted relationships between socioecological conditions and the experience of psychic pain. One controversial group of studies examined the severity of psychic pain following rape, finding, for instance, that reproductive-aged women suffered more from rape than nonreproductive-aged women, predicted because reproductive-aged women are exposed to unwanted pregnancy in addition to physical injury, social stigma, and other harmful consequences of rape.^{74,76–78}

Another test involved emotional reactions to newborns. Because there is a trade-off between investing in new offspring and investing in existing or future offspring, mothers should not always invest in new offspring.79 Hagen⁸⁰⁻⁸² and Thornhill and Furlow⁸³ independently realized that postpartum depression may not be a simple endocrine problem, as commonly believed,⁸⁴ but instead functions to reduce investment in offspring who, during human evolution, would have been unlikely to survive to adulthood. In support, postpartum depression is probably caused by low social support, which reduces offspring viability; mothers with postpartum depression reduce investment in the baby and often do not love or want it, and even have thoughts of harming it; and remission is associated with improved social support. All this suggests that mothers are modulating investment in the new offspring in response to predicted socioecological conditions.^{80-83,85}

However, the psychic pain hypothesis does not explain suicidality, and its proponents disagree on whether MDD itself is functional or dysfunctional.

Integration of Psychic Pain and the Psychology of Affect

Nesse integrates the psychic pain hypothesis with important literature on the psychology of affect that holds that basic emotions, such as sadness and joy, are best viewed as regions in an underlying 2-dimensional affective space whose axes are labelled valence and arousal⁸⁶ or, alternatively, positive affect and negative affect.87 Positive affect is thought to subserve an evolved behavioural activation system that promotes an approach toward natural rewards, such as food and sex. Negative affect subserves a distinct behavioural inhibition system that promotes avoidance of potential threats and dangers,88-92 similar to the psychic pain hypothesis. In the synthesis of Nesse^{71,93–95} and Nesse and Ellsworth,⁹⁶ high mood promotes profitable investment and risk-taking in propitious circumstances, whereas low mood disengages effort and motivates consideration of other ways to reach the goal in unpropitious circumstances, an idea Nesse traces to Klinger.91

Keller and Nesse⁹⁷ move beyond most theories discussed so far in recognizing that different types of adversity may call for different affective responses, which they term the situation–symptom congruence hypothesis (see also Higgins ⁹⁸). Keller and Nesse^{97,99} found that crying, which may be advantageous in the wake of a social loss, was prominent following the death of a loved one or romantic loss, whereas pessimism and fatigue, which would conserve resources and decrease initiative, were prominent in response to failure, stress, and wintertime. An analysis of data from the Virginia Adult Twin Study found broadly similar patterns.¹⁰⁰

For Nesse,⁹⁵ prolonged depressive symptoms often result from the pathological pursuit of unreachable goals, akin to

the pathological failure to yield in the social competition hypothesis. However, refusal to let go is not due to conflicts in the triune brain but to dysregulation of low mood, which, in turn, has various possible causes, including mismatches with the modern environment, infection, autoimmune reactions, constraints, trade-offs, and inherent properties of human social life that often trap people in fruitless endeavours.⁹⁵

Despite its strengths, Nesse's theory shares some of the weaknesses of the social competition hypothesis (which can be viewed as a special case of Nesse's theory). For example, it does not explain the association of MDD and suicidality.

Implications for MDD Research

The possibility that MDD is dysregulated sadness or low mood has at least 2 important implications for MDD research. First, MDD research should focus much more heavily on understanding healthy sadness and low mood. After all, how is it possible to understand dysregulated sadness and low mood if sadness and low mood themselves are poorly understood?

The second, related implication is about the choice of an appropriate healthy control group. To understand disease etiology, medical researchers often compare people with disease with healthy control subjects. Important differences between the 2 groups provide insights into etiology. If, as many evolutionary theorists suspect, sadness and low mood are healthy responses to adversity, and MDD is dysfunctional sadness, then the appropriate control subjects for MDD research would usually be either people experiencing sadness and low mood, or matched on recent exposure to adversity. Comparison of depressed people with sad people could reveal the essential differences in unhealthy and healthy responses to adversity.

Unfortunately, control subjects in MDD research almost always comprise nondepressed people, most of whom are not sad and, as a group, have not recently experienced much adversity. For instance, a review of 7 communitybased studies of MDD onset in women found that whereas the percentage of those with MDD preceded by at least 1 adverse event ranged from 61% to 94%, among those without MDD, the percentage exposed to at least 1 adverse life event during a comparable time period was only 25% to 36%.²⁰ In studies using nondepressed people as control subjects, MDD is confounded with adversity.

Adversity is a common experience for most members of most species, including humans. Hence there are likely to be numerous, adaptive, healthy responses to it, and these responses would likely involve multiple and substantial changes in the brain and body. Significant differences in the neurophysiology of depressed and nondepressed people, such as mean differences in hypothalamic– pituitary–adrenal axis function, hippocampal size, or brainderived neurotrophic factor, could therefore be related to MDD⁶ or could be due to myriad healthy neurobiological, psychological, and behavioural responses to adversity.

Implications for MDD Diagnosis: The High Rate Problem

The lifetime prevalences of severe mental illnesses, such as schizophrenia, autism, and bipolar disorder, are about 1 in 100 or less.¹⁰¹⁻¹⁰³ Conversely, lifetime prevalence of MDD for US women is more than 1 in 5, and for middle-aged women, more than 1 in 4.¹⁰⁴ What insights does evolutionary theory provide for such extraordinarily high rates of a severe brain disease?

Evolutionary Explanations for the High Rate of MDD

High rates of illness can be caused by infections, physical injury, unavoidable byproducts of adaptations (such as damage caused by healthy inflammation), mismatches between the current environment and the environment in which humans evolved (which may explain, for example, high rates of allergy), and old age.

However, there is little evidence that most MDD is a consequence of infection or physical injury, and it is, if anything, more common in young adults,¹⁰⁴ leaving as possibilities unavoidable byproducts, for example, of inflammatory responses to stress-induced neural microdamage,¹⁰⁵ mismatches,¹⁰⁶ or some combination of all of these.⁹⁵ Nettle¹⁰⁷ proposed a special case of the byproduct hypothesis. Humans have complex affective systems, based on many genes. Genetic variation in traits involving many genes can be maintained despite strong selection (see also Keller and Miller¹⁰⁸). People in the tail of the resulting distribution in emotional reactivity (neuroticism) may be vulnerable to affective disorders.

A High Rate of False Positives?

Alternatively, the current means of diagnosing MDD may produce many false positives, explaining the high rate. Although all evolutionary theorists of MDD agree that some MDD is pathological—after all, any mechanism can malfunction—a few believe that most cases of MDD in community samples are actually healthy responses to adversity (Figure 1).^{34,81,109–113}

To make their case, these scholars argue, first, that the severity of an emotional response, per se, is not evidence of psychopathology. Severe physical pain in response to severe physical injury, though highly aversive and disabling, is ultimately beneficial. Common precipitants of MDD, such as mate loss, are as serious a threat to fitness as a severe physical injury, thus a severe yet functional negative emotional response to, for example, divorce, is unsurprising.

Horwitz and Wakefield³⁴ continue this line of reasoning with an historical analysis showing that, from antiquity to modern times, clinicians did not consider severe

Figure 1 Two views of the boundary between function and dysfunction



All evolutionary theorists agree that sadness and low mood are probably adaptations. Most see MDD as a dysfunction of sadness and low mood (top). Some, however, argue that much MDD is also functional (bottom). Because all mechanisms can dysfunction, all agree, though, that at least some MDD is dysfunctional.

depressive symptoms, in and of themselves, to indicate psychopathology, instead weighing symptoms against patients' circumstances. Psychopathology was diagnosed only when symptoms occurred without cause, or were disproportionate to circumstances in severity or duration.

A major change occurred in the 1970s. In the service of reliability, the Feighner et al criteria¹¹⁴ and the Research Diagnostic Criteria,¹¹⁵ crystalized in the DSM-III, diagnosed MDD by symptoms only, ignoring patient circumstances, with bereavement the only exception. Severe depressive symptoms lasting more than 2 weeks in relation to, for example, divorce or job loss were now, by definition, pathological. When applied to community populations in large epidemiologic surveys, these criteria revealed surprisingly high rates of psychopathology, raising concerns of false positives^{7,8} (a clinical significance criterion was introduced in DSM-IV to reduce false positives by requiring that symptoms cause significant distress or impairment⁸).

To encourage consideration of patient circumstances in the upcoming definition of MDD in DSM-5, Wakefield et al¹¹⁶ showed, first, that, in uncomplicated cases, the symptom profiles of patients following bereavement are very similar to symptom profiles of those following other losses. Hence, for patients with uncomplicated cases, the bereavement exclusion should be extended to other losses.¹¹⁶ Second, they criticized the clinical significance criterion by showing that almost everyone with persistent sadness, even in the absence of MDD, is distressed and (or) impaired. The criterion is therefore redundant and cannot reduce false positives.^{8,117}

Kendler¹¹⁸ argues the opposite point: because there is littleto-no difference between MDD following the death of a loved one and other losses, the bereavement exclusion should instead be eliminated from DSM-5. On this view, the constellation of symptoms currently described as MDD is never a normal or healthy response to even severe adversity.

The debate between Wakefield et al¹¹⁶ and Kendler¹¹⁸ turns, in part, on whether common but severe symptoms

of MDD, such as prolonged loss of interest in virtually all activities and suicidality, could be functional in certain severe circumstances. If so, this strengthens the case for consideration of patient circumstances in the diagnosis of MDD.

Are Prolonged Anhedonia and Suicidality Ever Healthy? (And Why Does It Matter?)

The final theories reviewed here seek benefits of prolonged anhedonia and suicidality that may outweigh their obvious costs.

The Analytical Rumination Hypothesis

In the analytical rumination hypothesis, a refinement of the psychic pain hypothesis, Andrews and Thomson¹¹⁰ propose that MDD is triggered specifically by complex problems. Choosing the best course of action requires extensive analysis of the problem, diverting time and cognitive resources away from daily activities. What seems to be a pathological loss of interest in virtually all activities is instead a beneficially single-minded focus on, for example, marital problems at the expense of housecleaning, grooming, eating, and sleeping.

In support, Andrews and Thomson¹¹⁰ note that people with MDD ruminate extensively about their life problems, which they perceive to be complex, severe, and difficult to solve, and that MDD promotes an analytical style of reasoning. Studies seeming to document cognitive deficits in people with MDD erroneously compared their performance to control subjects, that is, those with little recent exposure to adversity. It is not surprising that, for example, a wife whose husband had just died would do worse on abstract reasoning tasks. According to Andrews and Thomson,¹¹⁰ not one study has examined whether depressive rumination improves responses to the problem that triggered the MDD. Andrews and Thomson¹¹⁰ propose that it does, but convincing evidence of this is currently lacking.

A Credible Cry for Help, a Demand for Help

To successfully respond to adversity, people often need help from others. It has frequently been suggested that MDD, deliberate self-harm, and suicidality are cries for help, albeit pathological cries, because of their costs.^{25–27} Hagen^{80–82,109} and Watson and Andrews¹¹³ independently proposed, instead, that costly cries are functional for those enmeshed in interpersonal conflicts.

Evolutionary biologists and economists have long recognized that when senders and receivers have conflicts of interest, and senders therefore have an incentive to deceive, costs can ensure the credibility of signals.^{119,120} Applied to MDD and suicidality, the logic is that when life is going well, prolonged MDD or suicide attempts would be very costly because they would interfere with work, marriage, child rearing, and so on, as indeed they do.^{62–67} Although nonneedy people may benefit by deceptively signalling need, they cannot afford to send the signal, that is, be depressed or attempt suicide.

For people whose work, marriage, or child rearing are not going well, MDD and suicidality impose few costs because there is little to lose, so they can afford to send such signals. Hence when social partners observe MDD or a suicide attempt they can be confident that the sufferer is genuinely needy, even if interpersonal conflicts would lead them to be skeptical.

Suicide attempts, in particular, are gambles—needy people win if they survive and consequently receive more help; they lose if they actual kill themselves.^{121,122} This risky strategy may only be open to people below some critical threshold, as suggested by the risk-sensitive foraging literature. Important for this hypothesis, most suicide attempts fail: globally, there are more than 14 attempts for every completion¹²³; for young adult US women, there are more than 100.¹²⁴

Because social partners respond negatively to MDD and deliberate self-harm,^{125–127} credible signalling cannot be the whole story. In interdependent relationships, such as work and marriage, where social partners depend on one another to produce shared benefits, a person who harms him- or herself is also harming his or her partners, which motivates them to respond. MDD and suicidality are, at once, credible cries and demands for help, a strategy referred to as bargaining with incomplete information.^{128,129}

In this light, it is not surprising that MDD is aversive to social partners. Infant cries, which are obviously evolved signals of need, are aversive.¹³⁰ So, too, are internal signals of need—physical and psychic pain. Aversiveness is probably required to motivate otherwise disfavoured behaviours.

In support of these hypotheses, those who become depressed or suicidal in response to adversity are characterized by powerlessness,^{42–50} and thus plausibly require help, yet are embroiled in interpersonal conflicts,^{131–138} which would inhibit help. Despite these conflicts, social partners provide help in response to depressive behaviours,^{134,139–144} so much so that many researchers worry these benefits reinforce MDD. 134,135,139,140,145,146 Cross-culturally, much (but not all) suicidality is a response to conflict that often elicits benefits. 147

The primary weakness of these hypotheses is that although remission of MDD is associated with important life improvements,¹⁴⁸ there is as yet no evidence that depressive symptoms themselves bring about life improvements, as these hypotheses require.

Avoiding a Brave New World

Depression is a response to adversity. If (and when) it is a dysfunctional response then ADs are therapeutic. However, if much MDD is a functional response to adversity, as some evolutionary theorists believe, suppressing it could be harmful. ADs do interfere with an adaptive stress response in at least one species. Guinea pigs pups, which possess central serotonin (5-HT_{1D}) receptors similar to humans, cry when separated from their mother. ADs suppress these separation cries, interfering with a clearly adaptive stress response. In fact, potential AD compounds are screened precisely for their ability to suppress these functional cries for help.149,150 It is conceivable that, in humans, ADs are also suppressing a functional cry for help. Unfortunately, there is little ongoing effort in mental health science to determine whether MDD has any such benefits that outweigh its manifest costs.

In summary, evolutionary approaches to MDD, although still in their infancy, could transform research on this affliction. If, as most evolutionary theories propose, MDD is dysfunctional sadness and low mood, then MDD research should focus much more heavily on understanding healthy sadness and low mood, control subjects should be people experiencing sadness or low mood (or matched on recent life events), and the goal of chemo- and psychotherapy should be to restore healthy sadness and low mood, not simply to reduce MDD symptoms. Conversely, if much MDD is actually functional, then MDD, like aggression or grief, is largely a social problem, not a medical problem.

Acknowledgement

The Canadian Psychiatric Association proudly supports the In Review series by providing an honorarium to the authors.

References

- 1. Bentham J. Introduction to the principles of morals and legislation. Oxford (GB): Clarendon Press; 1789.
- Kendler KS. Toward a philosophical structure for psychiatry. Am J Psychiatry. 2005;162(3):433–440.
- Lacasse JR, Leo J. Serotonin and depression: a disconnect between the advertisements and the scientific literature. PLoS Med. 2005;2(12):e392.
- Leo J, Lacasse JR. The media and the chemical imbalance theory of depression. Society. 2008;45(1):35–45.
- National Institute of Mental Health. Depression [Internet]. Rockville (MD): NIMH; 2011 [cited 2010 Dec 17]. Available from: http://www.nimh.nih.gov/health/publications/depression/ complete-index.shtml.
- Belmaker RH, Agam G. Major depressive disorder. N Engl J Med. 2008;358(1):55–68.

- Regier DA, Kaelber CT, Rae DS, et al. Limitations of diagnostic criteria and assessment instruments for mental disorders: implications for research and policy. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1998;55(2):109–115.
- Spitzer RL, Wakefield JC. DSM-IV diagnostic criterion for clinical significance: does it help solve the false positives problem? Am J Psychiatry. 1999;156(12):1856–1864.
- 9. Kirsch I, Moore TJ, Scoboria A, et al. The emperor's new drugs: an analysis of antidepressant medication data submitted to the US Food and Drug Administration. Prev Treat. 2002;5(1):23.
- Kirsch I, Deacon BJ, Huedo-Medina TB, et al. Initial severity and antidepressant benefits: a meta-analysis of data submitted to the Food and Drug Administration. PLoS Med. 2008;5(2):e45.
- Fournier JC, DeRubeis RJ, Hollon SD, et al. Antidepressant drug effects and depression severity: a patient-level meta-analysis. JAMA. 2010;303(1):47–53.
- Williams JW, Mulrow CD, Chiquette E, et al. A systematic review of newer pharmacotherapies for depression in adults: evidence report summary. Ann Intern Med. 2000;132(9):743–756.
- Turner EH, Matthews AM, Linardatos E, et al. Selective publication of antidepressant trials and its influence on apparent efficacy. N Engl J Med. 2008;358(3):252–260.
- Kaiser J. Senate probe of research psychiatrists. Science. 2009;325(5936):30.
- Perrone M. Glaxo used ghostwriting to promote Paxil: offered to help doctors publish articles on drugs. Associated Press/Boston Globe [online edition]. 2009 Aug 20; News Section.
- Wilson D. Drug maker hired writing company for doctors' book, documents say. The New York Times [online edition]. 2010 Nov 29; Business Day Section.
- Williams GC. Adaptation and natural selection: a critique of some current evolutionary thought. Princeton (NJ): Princeton University Press; 1996.
- Nesse R. What Darwinian medicine offers psychiatry. In: Trevathan WR, Smith EO, McKenna JJ, editors. Evolutionary medicine. Oxford (GB): Oxford University Press; 1999. p 351–373.
- 19. Wolpert L. Malignant sadness: the anatomy of depression. New York (NY): The Free Press; 1999.
- 20. Mazure CM. Life stressors as risk factors in depression. Clin Psychol Sci Pract. 1998;5(3):291–313.
- Hammen C. Stress and depression. Annu Rev Clin Psychol. 2005;1:293–319.
- Tennant C. Life events, stress and depression: a review of recent findings. Aust N Z J Psychiatry. 2002;36(2):173–182.
- 23. Katz LD. Pleasure. In: Zalta EN, editor. The Stanford encyclopedia of philosophy. Spring 2009 ed [Internet]. Stanford (CA): Stanford University; 2009 [date cited unknown]. Available from: http://plato.stanford.edu/archives/spr2009/entries/pleassure.
- 24. Moore A. Hedonism. In: Zalta EN, editor. The Stanford encyclopedia of philosophy. Fall 2008 ed [Internet]. Stanford (CA): Stanford University; 2008 [date cited unknown]. Available from: http://plato.stanford.edu/archives/fall2008/entries/hedonism.
- Lewis AJ. Melancholia: a clinical survey of depressive states. Br J Psychiatry. 1934;80(329):277–378.
- 26. Farberow NL, Shneidman ES. The cry for help. New York (NY): Blakiston Division, McGraw-Hill; 1961.
- Henderson S. Care-eliciting behavior in man. J Nerv Ment Disord. 1974;159:172–181.
- Darwin C. The expression of the emotions in man and animals. London (GB): John Murray; 1872.
- Bowlby J. Attachment and loss. Vol III. Loss: sadness and depression. New York (NY): Basic Books; 1980.
- Archer J. The nature of grief: the evolution and psychology of reactions to loss. London (GB): Routledge; 1999.
- Nesse RM. Is grief really maladaptive? Evol Hum Behav. 2000;29:59–61.
- 32. Nesse RM. Evolutionary framework for understanding grief. In: Carr DS, Ness RM, Wortman CB, editors. Spousal bereavement in late life. New York (NY): Springer Publishing; 2006. p 195–226.
- The Canadian Journal of Psychiatry, Vol 56, No 12, December 2011 🌞

- Henderson S, Byrne DG, Duncan-Jones P. Neurosis and the social environment. New York (NY): Academic Press; 1981.
- 34. Horwitz AV, Wakefield JC. The loss of sadness: how psychiatry transformed normal sorrow into depressive disorder. Oxford (GB): Oxford University Press; 2007.
- Beck AT. Depression: clinical, experimental, and theoretical aspects. New York (NY): Hoeber Medical Division, Harper & Row; 1967.
- 36. Green JG, McLaughlin KA, Berglund PA, et al. Childhood adversities and adult psychiatric disorders in the national comorbidity survey replication I: associations with first onset of DSM-IV disorders. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2010;67(2):113–123.
- Schjelderup-Ebbe T. Social behaviour of birds. In: Murchison C, editor. Handbook of social psychology. Worcester (MA): Clarke University Press; 1935. p 947–972.
- Price J, Sloman L, Gardner R Jr, et al. The social competition hypothesis of depression. Br J Psychiatry. 1994;164(3):309–315.
- Price JS, Gardner R, Wilson DR, et al. Territory, rank and mental health: the history of an idea. Evol Psychol. 2007;5(3):531–554.
- Price JS, Gardner R, Erickson M. Can depression, anxiety and somatization be understood as appeasement displays? J Affect Disord. 2004;79(1–3):1–11.
- 41. Sloman L, Gilbert P, Hasey G. Evolved mechanisms in depression: the role and interaction of attachment and social rank in depression. J Affect Disord. 2003;74(2):107–121.
- 42. Rotter JB. Generalized expectancies for internal versus external control of reinforcement. Psychol Monogr. 1966;80:1–28.
- Benassi VA, Sweeney PD, Dufour CL. Is there a relation between locus of control orientation and depression? J Abnorm Psychol. 1988;97(3):357–367.
- Presson PK, Benassi VA. Locus of control orientation and depressive symptomatology: a meta-analysis. J Soc Behav Pers. 1996;11:201–212.
- Abramson LY, Metalsky GI, Alloy LB. Hopelessness depression: a theory-based subtype of depression. Psychol Rev. 1989;96(2):358–372.
- Brown GW. Genetic and population perspectives on life events and depression. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol. 1998;33(8):363–372.
- 47. Gilbert P. Depression: the evolution of powerlessness. Hove (GB): Lawrence Erlbaum Associates Ltd; 1992.
- 48. Gilbert P, Allan S. The role of defeat and entrapment (arrested flight) in depression: an exploration of an evolutionary view. Psychol Med. 1998;28(03):585–598.
- 49. Gilbert P, Allan S, Brough S, et al. Relationship of anhedonia and anxiety to social rank, defeat and entrapment. J Affect Disord. 2002;71:141–151.
- Kendler KS, Hettema JM, Butera F, et al. Life event dimensions of loss, humiliation, entrapment, and danger in the prediction of onsets of major depression and generalized anxiety. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2003;60(8):789–796.
- MacLean PD. The triune brain in evolution: role in paleocerebral functions. New York (NY): Plenum Press; 1990.
- Butler AB, Hodos W. Comparative vertebrate neuroanatomy: evolution and adaptation. 2nd ed. Hoboken (NJ): John Wiley & Sons, Inc; 2005.
- 53. Heimer L, Van Hoesen GW, Trimble M, et al. Anatomy of neuropsychiatry: the new anatomy of the basal forebrain and its implications for neuropsychiatric illness. Boston (MA): Academic Press/Elsevier Inc; 2008.
- 54. Cory GA Jr, Gardner R Jr, editors. The evolutionary neuroethology of Paul MacLean: convergences and frontiers. Westport (CT): Praeger/Greenwood Publishing Group; 2002.
- 55. Price J, Gardner R Jr. Humans are superhuman animals: a reply to commentaries by J Birtchnell and P Gilbert. Br J Med Psychol. 1995;68:217–222.
- Stevens A, Price J. Evolutionary psychiatry: a new beginning. 2nd ed. London (GB): Routledge; 2000.

- Allen NB, Badcock PBT. The social risk hypothesis of depressed mood: evolutionary, psychosocial, and neurobiological perspectives. Psychol Bull. 2003;129(6):887–913.
- Caraco T, Martindale S, Whittam TS. An empirical demonstration of risk-sensitive foraging preferences. Anim Behav. 1980;28(3):820–830.
- 59. Stephens DW. The logic of risk-sensitive foraging preferences. Anim Behav. 1981;29(2):628–629.
- McNamara JM, Houston AI. Risk-sensitive foraging: a review of the theory. Bull Math Biol. 1992;54(2):355–378.
- Nettle D. An evolutionary model of low mood states. J Theor Biol. 2009;257(1):100–103.
- 62. Alonso J, Petukhova M, Vilagut G, et al. Days out of role due to common physical and mental conditions: results from the WHO World Mental Health surveys. Mol Psychiatry. 2010:1–13.
- Beck CT. The effects of postpartum depression on maternal–infant interaction: a meta-analysis. MCN Am J Maternal Child Nurs. 1995;20(6):296.
- 64. Kessler RC, Akiskal HS, Ames M, et al. Prevalence and effects of mood disorders on work performance in a nationally representative sample of US workers. Am J Psychiatry. 2006;163(9):1561–1568.
- Lerner D, Henke RM. What does research tell us about depression, job performance, and work productivity? J Occup Environ Med. 2008;50(4):401–410.
- 66. Ormel J, VonKorff M, Ustun TB, et al. Common mental disorders and disability across cultures. Results from the WHO Collaborative Study on Psychological Problems in General Health Care. JAMA. 1994;272(22):1741–1748.
- Stewart WF, Ricci JA, Chee E, et al. Cost of lost productive work time among US workers with depression. JAMA. 2003;289(23):3135–3144.
- Fama EF, MacBeth JD. Risk, return, and equilibrium: empirical tests. J Polit Econ. 1973;81(3):607–636.
- Fiegenbaum A, Thomas H. Attitudes toward risk and the riskreturn paradox: prospect theory explanations. Acad Manage J. 1988;31(1):85–106.
- Alexander RD. Ostracism and indirect reciprocity: the reproductive significance of humor. Ethol Sociobiol. 1986;7(3–4):253–270.
- Nesse RM. What good is feeling bad? Sciences (New York). 1991;31(6):30–37.
- Nesse RM, Williams GC. Why we get sick: the new science of Darwinian medicine. New York (NY): Times Books; 1995.
- Thornhill R, Thornhill NW. The evolution of psychological pain. In: Bell RW, Bell NJ, editors. Sociobiology and the social sciences. Lubbock (TX): Texas Tech University Press; 1989. p 73–103.
- Thornhill NW, Thornhill R. An evolutionary analysis of psychological pain following rape: I. The effects of victim's age and marital status. Ethol Sociobiol. 1990;11(3):155–176.
- 75. Tooby J, Cosmides L. The past explains the present: emotional adaptations and the structure of ancestral environments. Ethol Sociobiol. 1990;11:375–424.
- Thornhill NW, Thornhill R. An evolutionary analysis of psychological pain following rape: II. The effects of stranger, friend, and family-member offenders. Ethol Sociobiol. 1990;11(3):177–193.
- Thornhill NW, Thornhill R. An evolutionary analysis of psychological pain following rape: III. Effects of force and violence. Aggress Behav. 1990;16(5):297–320.
- Thornhill NW, Thornhill R. An evolutionary analysis of psychological pain following human (Homo sapiens) rape: IV. The effect of the nature of the sexual assault. J Comp Psychol. 1991;105(3):243–252.
- Trivers RL. Parental investment and sexual selection. In: Campbell B, editor. Sexual selection and the descent of man. Chicago (IL): Aldine Press; 1972. p 136–179.
- 80. Hagen EH. Postpartum depression as an adaptation to paternal and kin exploitation. Paper presented at the Human Behavior and Evolution Society 8th Annual Conference; 1996 Jun [days unknown]; Northwestern University, Evanston, IL.

- Hagen EH. The functions of postpartum depression. Evol Hum Behav. 1999;20(5):325–359.
- Hagen EH. Depression as bargaining: the case postpartum. Evol Hum Behav. 2002;23(5):323–336.
- 83. Thornhill R, Furlow B. Stress and human reproductive behavior: attractiveness, women's sexual development, postpartum depression, and baby's cry. In: Moller AP, Milinski M, Slater PJB, editors. Stress and behavior. San Diego (CA): Academic Press; 1998. p 319–369.
- Bloch M, Daly RC, Rubinow DR. Endocrine factors in the etiology of postpartum depression. Compr Psychiatry. 2003;44(3):234–246.
- Hagen EH, Barrett HC. Perinatal sadness among Shuar women: support for an evolutionary theory of psychic pain. Med Anthropol Q. 2007;21(1):22–40.
- Russell JA, Carroll JM. On the bipolarity of positive and negative affect. Psychol Bull. 1999;125(1):3–30.
- Watson D, Tellegen A. Toward a consensual structure of mood. Psychol Bull. 1985;98(2):219–235.
- Carver CS, Scheier MF. Origins and functions of positive and negative affect: a control-process view. Psychol Rev. 1990;97(1):19–35.
- Carver CS, Scheier MF. On the self-regulation of behavior. Cambridge (GB): Cambridge University Press; 2001.
- Gray JA. The psychology of fear and stress. Cambridge (GB): Cambridge University Press; 1987.
- Klinger E. Consequences of commitment to and disengagement from incentives. Psychol Rev. 1975;82(1):1–25.
- Watson D, Wiese D, Vaidya J, et al. The two general activation systems of affect: structural findings, evolutionary considerations, and psychobiological evidence. J Pers Soc Psychol. 1999;76(5):820–838.
- Nesse RM. The evolution of hope and despair. Soc Res (New York). 1999;66(2):429–469.
- Nesse RM. Is depression an adaptation? Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2000;57(1):14–20.
- 95. Nesse RM. Explaining depression: neuroscience is not enough, evolution is essential. In: Pariante CM, Nesse RM, Nutt D, et al, editors. Understanding depression. A translational approach. Cambridge (GB): Cambridge University Press; 2009. p 17–36.
- Nesse RM, Ellsworth PC. Evolution, emotions, and emotional disorders. Am Psychol. 2009;64(2):129–139.
- Keller MC, Nesse RM. The evolutionary significance of depressive symptoms: different adverse situations lead to different depressive symptom patterns. J Pers Soc Psychol. 2006;91(2):316–330.
- Higgins ET. Beyond pleasure and pain. Am Psychol. 1997;52:1280–1300.
- Keller MC, Nesse RM. Is low mood an adaptation? Evidence for subtypes with symptoms that match precipitants. J Affect Disord. 2005;86(1):27–35.
- 100. Keller MC, Neale MC, Kendler KS. Association of different adverse life events with distinct patterns of depressive symptoms. Am J Psychiatry. 2007;164(10):1521–1529.
- 101. Merikangas KR, Jin R, He JP, et al. Prevalence and correlates of bipolar spectrum disorder in the World Mental Health Survey Initiative. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2011;68(3):241–251.
- 102. Saha S, Chant D, Welham J, et al. A systematic review of the prevalence of schizophrenia. PLoS Med. 2005;2(5):e141.
- Yeargin-Allsopp M, Rice C, Karapurkar T, et al. Prevalence of autism in a US metropolitan area. JAMA. 2003;289(1):49–55.
- 104. Kessler RC, Birnbaum H, Bromet E, et al. Age differences in major depression: results from the National Comorbidity Survey Replication (NCS-R). Psychol Med. 2010;40(02):225–237.
- 105. Wager-Smith K, Markou A. Depression: a repair response to stress-induced neuronal microdamage that can grade into a chronic neuroinflammatory condition? Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 2011;35(3):742–764.
- 106. Glantz K, Pearce JK. Exiles from Eden: psychotherapy from an evolutionary perspective. New York (NY): Norton; 1989.
- Nettle D. Evolutionary origins of depression: a review and reformulation. J Affect Disord. 2004;81(2):91–102.

- 108. Keller MC, Miller G. Resolving the paradox of common, harmful, heritable mental disorders: which evolutionary genetic models work best? Behav Brain Sci. 2006;29(04):385–404.
- 109. Hagen EH. The bargaining model of depression. In: Hammerstein P, editor. Genetic and cultural evolution of cooperation. Cambridge (MA): MIT Press; 2003. p 95–123.
- 110. Andrews PW, Thomson JA Jr. The bright side of being blue: depression as an adaptation for analyzing complex problems. Psychol Rev. 2009;116(3):620–654.
- 111. Andrews PW, Hagen EH. Chapter 1. In: Andrews PW, Hagen EH, Gangestad SW, et al, editors. Rethinking human misery. Oxford (GB): Oxford University Press; 2012.
- 112. Henriques G. Depression: disease or behavioral shutdown mechanism? J Sci Health Policy. 2000;1:152–165.
- 113. Watson PJ, Andrews PW. Toward a revised evolutionary adaptationist analysis of depression: the social navigation hypothesis. J Affect Disord. 2002;72(1):1–14.
- 114. Feighner JP, Robins E, Guze SB, et al. Diagnostic criteria for use in psychiatric research. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1972;26(1):57–63.
- Spitzer RL, Endicott J, Robins E. Research diagnostic criteria: rationale and reliability. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1978;35(6):773–782.
- 116. Wakefield JC, Schmitz MF, First MB, et al. Extending the bereavement exclusion for major depression to other losses: evidence from the National Comorbidity Survey. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2007;64(4):433–440.
- 117. Wakefield JC, Schmitz MF, Baer JC. Does the DSM-IV clinical significance criterion for major depression reduce false positives? Evidence from the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. Am J Psychiatry. 2010;167(3):298–304.
- 118. Kendler KS [Member, DSM-5 Mood Disorder Work Group]. A statement from Kenneth S. Kendler, MD, on the proposal to eliminate the grief exclusion criterion from major depression [Internet]. Arlington (VA): American Psychiatric Association; 2010 [cited 2011 Sep 14]. Available from: http://www.dsm5.org/about/ Documents/grief%20exclusion_Kendler.pdf.
- 119. Spence M. Job market signaling. Q J Econ. 1973;87(3):355-374.
- 120. Zahavi A. Mate selection—a selection for a handicap. J Theor Biol. 1975;53:205–214.
- 121. Stengel E. Suicide and attempted suicide. New York (NY): Penguin Books; 1964.
- 122. Rosenthal RW. Suicide attempts and signalling games. Math Soc Sci. 1993;26(1):25–33.
- 123. Borges G, Nock MK, Haro AJM, et al. Twelve-month prevalence of and risk factors for suicide attempts in the world health organization world mental health surveys. J Clin Psychiatry. 2010;71:1617–1628.
- 124. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Web-based Injury Statistics Query and Reporting System (WISQARS). Atlanta (GA): Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2005 [cited 2011 Sep 14]. Available from: http://www.cdc.gov/injury/wisqars/index.html.
- Coyne JC. Depression and the response of others. J Abnorm Psychol. 1976;85(2):186–193.
- 126. Coyne JC. Toward an interactional description of depression. Psychiatry. 1976;39(1):28–40.
- 127. Segrin C, Dillard JP. The interactional theory of depression: a meta-analysis of the research literature. J Soc Clin Psychol. 1992;11(1):43–70.
- 128. Ausubel LM, Cramton P, Deneckere RJ. Bargaining with incomplete information. In: Aumann RJ, Hart S, editors. Handbook of game theory with economic applications. Vol 3. Amsterdam (NL): North Holland; 2002. p 1897–1945.
- 129. Kennan J, Wilson R. Bargaining with private information. J Econ Lit. 1993;31:45–104.
- 130. Owings DH, Zeifman DM. Human infant crying as an animal communication system: insights from an assessment/management approach. In: Oller DK, Griebel U, editors. Evolution of communication systems: a comparative approach. Cambridge (MA): The MIT Press; 2004. p 151–170.

- Bertera EM. Mental health in US adults: the role of positive social support and social negativity in personal relationships. J Soc Pers Relat. 2005;22(1):33–48.
- Choi H, Marks NF. Marital conflict, depressive symptoms, and functional impairment. J Marriage Fam. 2008;70(2):377–390.
- 133. Finch JF, Okun MA, Pool GJ, et al. A comparison of the influence of conflictual and supportive social interactions on psychological distress. J Pers. 1999;67(4):581–621.
- 134. Sheeber L, Hops H, Alpert A, et al. Family support and conflict: prospective relations to adolescent depression. J Abnorm Child Psychol. 1997;25(4):333–344.
- Sheeber L, Hops H, Davis B. Family processes in adolescent depression. Clin Child Family Psychol Rev. 2001;4(1):19–35.
- 136. Sheeber LB, Davis B, Leve C, et al. Adolescents' relationships with their mothers and fathers: associations with depressive disorder and subdiagnostic symptomatology. J Abnorm Psychol. 2007;116(1):144–154.
- 137. Whisman MA. The association between depression and marital dissatisfaction. In: Beach SRH, editor. Marital and family processes in depression: a scientific foundation for clinical practice. Washington (DC): American Psychological Association; 2001. p 3–24.
- Whisman MA, Uebelacker LA. Prospective associations between marital discord and depressive symptoms in middle-aged and older adults. Psychol Aging. 2009;24(1):184–189.
- 139. Biglan A, Hops H, Sherman L, et al. Problem-solving interactions of depressed women and their husbands. Behav Ther. 1985;16(5):431–451.
- Biglan A, Rothlind J, Hops H, et al. Impact of distressed and aggressive behavior. J Abnorm Psychol. 1989;98(3):218–228.
- 141. Dadds MR, Sanders MR, Morrison M, et al. Childhood depression and conduct disorder: II. An analysis of family interaction patterns in the home. J Abnorm Psychol. 1992;101(3):505–513.
- 142. Hokanson JE, Loewenstein DA, Hedeen C, et al. Dysphoric college students and roommates: a study of social behaviors over a threemonth period. Pers Soc Psychol Bull. 1986;12(3):311–324.
- 143. Stephens RS, Hokanson JE, Welker R. Responses to depressed interpersonal behavior: mixed reactions in a helping role. J Pers Soc Psychol. 1987;52(6):1274–1282.
- 144. Hagen EH, Watson PJ, Hammerstein P. Gestures of despair and hope: a view on deliberate self-harm from economics and evolutionary biology. Biol Theory. 2008;3:123–138.
- 145. Hops H, Biglan A, Sherman L, et al. Home observations of family interactions of depressed women. J Consult Clin Psychol. 1987;55(3):341–346.
- 146. Siegel SJ, Alloy LB. Interpersonal perceptions and consequences of depressive-significant other relationships: a naturalistic study of college roommates. J Abnorm Psychol. 1990;99(4):361–373.
- 147. Hagen EH. Suicidality and depression: illnesses or adaptations? Paper presented at Evolutionary Mcdicine: An Emerging Synthesis, 2005 Oct 11–14; Humboldt-Universität, Berlin, Germany.
- 148. Harris T. Recent developments in understanding the psychosocial aspects of depression. Br Med Bull. 2001;57(1):17–32.
- 149. Kramer MS, Cutler N, Feighner J, et al. Distinct mechanism for antidepressant activity by blockade of central substance P receptors. Science. 1998;281(5383):1640–1645.
- 150. Molewijk HE, Hartog K, van der Poel AM, et al. Reduction of guinea pig pup isolation calls by anxiolytic and antidepressant drugs. Psychopharmacology. 1996;128(1):31–38.

Address for correspondence: Dr E H Hagen, Department of Anthropology, Washington State University, 14204 NE Salmon Creek Avenue, Vancouver, WA 98686–9600; edhagen@vancouver.wsu.edu

Manuscript received June 2011, revised, and accepted August 2011. ¹ Associate Professor, Department of Anthropology, Washington State

University, Vancouver, Washington.

Résumé : Théories évolutionnaires de la dépression : une revue critique

Nous effectuons une revue critique des théories évolutionnaires du trouble dépressif majeur (TDM). Parce que tous les cas de TDM semblent être causés par l'adversité, les théories évolutionnaires du TDM proposent généralement que la tristesse et l'humeur sombre aient évolué au titre de réponses avantageuses à l'adversité, et que le TDM soit une tristesse et une humeur sombre dysfonctionnelles. S'il en est ainsi, la recherche sur le TDM devrait porter beaucoup plus sur la compréhension des fonctions saines de la tristesse et de l'humeur sombre, pour mieux comprendre comment elles deviennent dysfonctionnelles. Un débat sur la frontière entre une saine tristesse et le TDM est ensuite étudié. Ce débat dévie partiellement sur la question de savoir si les symptômes les plus pénibles du TDM pourraient procurer des avantages inconnus. Par conséquent, la revue conclut en discutant de 2 théories qui explorent les avantages possibles de l'anhédonie prolongée et de la suicidabilité.